Developmental Trajectories in Infants with Fragile X Syndrome: Comparing FXS, Autism Siblings, and Typical Controls Lindsay M. McCary, Ph.D., Svetlana V. Shinkareva, Ph.D., & Jane E. Roberts, Ph.D. University of South Carolina



Overview

Early Identification of autism and FXS
Current Study
Future Directions

Early Development in FXS

- First sign late attainment of developmental milestones
 - > Noticeable after 12 months of age
 - > Difficult to differentiate
- Cognitive deficits in moderate to severe range by middle childhood
 - > Stronger verbal than visual-spatial abilities
 - > Mediated by FMRP
 - > Atypical visual attention
- Suboptimal growth vs. true decline?

Early Development in ASD

• Autism Siblings

- Higher risk of developing autism, compare later outcomes
- Those who later meet for ASD can be differentiated by:
 - > Atypical social behaviors
 - > Prolonged latency to disengage visual attention
 - Lower on receptive and expressive language by 12 months of age

Why is Early Development Important?



Current Study

 Do infants with FXS differ in their development compared to ASIBs, other developmental delays, and typical controls?

 At what age are delays evident for each group?

Methods Participants were all males

Recruited through studies on early development in FXS (Bailey; Roberts)

and

National Database for Autism Research (NDAR)

Group	Ν	M age	Min age	Max age
Typical	122	14	5	24
FXS	90	14	7	25
ASIB	22	13	7	24
DD	133	18	3	24

Methods

Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL)

- > Measure of overall development including:
 - Visual Reception
 - Matching items, finding pictures
 - Fine Motor Skills
 - Drawing, cutting
 - Receptive Language
 - Understanding of language
 - Expressive Language
 - Use of language
- Cross-Sectional analysis
 - > Basic regression, no predictors included in model
- Ages 3-25 months

Results Overview

<u>Early Learning Composite</u> > Age effect for FXS and DD ASIB approaches significance Expressive Language Receptive Language • Fine Motor Visual Reception

Early Learning Composite



Results Overview • Early Learning Composite Expressive Language > Age effect for FXS and DD Receptive Language • Fine Motor Visual Reception

Expressive Language



Results Overview • Early Learning Composite Expressive Language Receptive Language > Age effect for DD only • Fine Motor Visual Reception

Receptive Language



Results Overview

- Early Learning Composite
- Expressive Language
- Receptive Language
- Fine Motor
 - > Age effect for FXS and DD
- Visual Reception

Fine Motor



Results Overview

- Early Learning Composite
- Expressive Language
- Receptive Language
- Fine Motor
- O Visual Reception
 - > Age effect for ASIBs and DD

Visual Reception



Results Summary

 FXS differed significant from typicals and ASIBs across all domains with differences evident at:

- > 7 months- ELC, FM, VR
- > 8 months- expressive language
- > 11 months- receptive language
- Clinically significant delays evident at:
 - > 14 months- expressive and receptive language
 - > 15 months- ELC
 - > 16 months- Fine Motor
 - > 20 Months- Visual Reception

Results Summary

- FXS group showed earlier delays than DD group for:
 - > Early Learning Composite
 - Until 15 months of age
 - > Fine Motor Skills
 - > Visual Reception
 - Until 20 months of age

Discussion

OPPRIMINATION OF THESE TRENDS

- > Delays evident as early as 7 months of age
 - Not "clinically significant" until closer to 14 months of age
- Understanding early developmental patterns may aid in earlier specification of delays
 - > Differentiating between groups
- Comparison between groups essential

Limitations

No diagnostic outcome
 Limited sample of ASIBs
 Lack of predictors which may be driving relationships

Future Directions

Longitudinal monitoring
 Diagnostic Outcomes
 Examining predictors
 ASD status/symptoms
 Language Level
 Level of FMRP

Acknowledgements

- National Institute of Mental Health; R01MH0901194-01A1 (PI: Roberts)
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development: P30-HD003110-35S1 (PI: Bailey). 2003 – 2008.
- Office of Special Education Programs, US
 Department of Education H324C990042 (PI: Bailey). 1999 – 2003

References

- Bailey, D.B., Jr., Raspa, M., Bishop, E., & Holliday, D. (2009). No change in the age of diagnosis for FXS: Findings from a national parent survey. *Pediatrics*, 142(2).
- Bailey, D.B., Jr., Roberts, J.E., Hooper, S.R., Hatton, D.D., Mirrett, P.L., & Roberts, J.E., et al. (2004). Research on FXS and autism: Implications for the study of genes, environments, and developmental language disorders. In M.L. Rice & S.F. Warren, (Eds.), Developmental Language Disorders: From Phenotypes to Etiologies (pp. 121-153). Mahway, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Landa, R.J., Holman, K.C., & Garrett-Mayer, E. (2007).Social and communication development in toddlers with early and later diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders.*Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 64, 853-864.
- McCary, L.M. & Roberts, J.E. (in press). Early indicators of autism in fragile X syndrome: A review. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research.
- Roberts, J.E., Mankowski, J.B., Sideris, J., Goldman, B.D., Hatton, D.D., Mirrett, P.L., et al. (2009). Trajectories and predictors of the development of very young boys with fragile X syndrome. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 1-10.
- Shattuck, P.T., Durkin, M., Maenner, M., Newschaffer, C., Mandell, D.S., Wiggins, L., et al. (2009). Timing of identification among children with an autism spectrum disorder: Findings from a population-based surveillance study. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 48, 463-464.
- Zwaigenbaum, L., Bryson, S., Rogers, T., Roberts, W., Brian, J., &Szatmari, P. (2005). Behavioural manifestations of autism in the first year of life. International Journal of Neuroscience, 23, 143-152.

Now Recruiting!



 Infants with Fragile X Syndrome or Siblings of a Child with Autism
 Adolescents with Fragile X Syndrome or Autism

www.uscdevlab.com uscdevlab@gmail.com Or come talk to us! (Dr. Jane Roberts-P})