

Feasibility, Reproducibility, and Clinical Validity of the Pediatric Anxiety Rating Scale, Revised (PARS-R) in Fragile X Syndrome

N.M. Russo-Ponsaran, J. Yesensky, D. Hessler, E. Berry-Kravis

Rush University Medical Center

Rush NeuroBehavioral Center

University of California, Davis

International Fragile X Conference

July 27, 2012



Fragile X syndrome (FXS)

- ❖ Leading inherited cause of intellectual disability
- ❖ Most common single gene cause of autism spectrum disorder
- ❖ Anxiety is a core feature of FXS in both males and females
- ❖ Numerous impending targeted pharmaceutical trials are directed at underlying biological defects and core symptoms in FXS
- ❖ A valid outcome measure for anxiety in FXS is lacking

Anxiety Measurement

- ❖ Validity and consistency can be difficult to assess in lower functioning and nonverbal populations
- ❖ Common methods include self-report, third-party report (parents, teachers, clinician)
- ❖ Clinician administration is advantageous to provide anchors and minimize responder bias and misunderstanding of item intent in perception of anxiety

Pediatric Anxiety Rating Scale-Revised (PARS-R)

J. AM. ACAD. CHILD ADOLESC. PSYCHIATRY, 41:9, SEPTEMBER 2002

- ❖ Clinician-administered anxiety scale that has potential as an outcome measure for FXS studies
- ❖ Evaluates both presence and severity of symptoms in multiple anxiety domains
 - ❖ *Social*
 - ❖ *Separation*
 - ❖ *Generalized*
 - ❖ *Specific Phobia*
 - ❖ *Panic*
 - ❖ *Obsessive-Compulsive*
 - ❖ *Health/Illness Concerns*
 - ❖ *Other symptoms*

Objectives

- ❖ To evaluate the PARS-R for rating anxiety in FXS
 1. Feasibility
 2. Clinical validity
 3. Reproducibility (test-retest)
 4. Reliability (inter-rater and cross-site)

Methods

- ❖ 49 total participants with FXS
 - ❖ 5-35 years old with varying functional levels
 - ❖ Mean IQ = 53.26 ± 13.95 (subset, $n = 27$)
 - ❖ 29 young (21 males, 8 females)
 - ❖ 20 adult (12 males, 8 females)
 - ❖ FMR1 DNA testing demonstrating the full mutation associated with FXS
- ❖ Data was collected at 2 sites to study cross-site reliability
 - ❖ 43 data sets (RUMC)
 - ❖ 6 data sets (UC Davis)

Clinical Validity

- ❖ Parent report on the Anxiety, Depression, and Mood Scale (ADAMS)
- ❖ Clinician rating on the Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale (CGI-S) rating for anxiety level was obtained independently from treating physician (EBK) for RUMC participants

Reproducibility & Reliability

- ❖ Test-retest data ($n = 38$; $M = 8.23 \pm 5.64$ weeks gap)
 - ❖ No change in treatment from Time 1 to Time 2
- ❖ Inter-rater reliability of 14 video-recorded administrations was assessed for two non-physician clinical raters (JY/NRP) and between non-physician raters and a clinical psychologist (DH)

Feasibility

- ❖ No refusal to participate
- ❖ Caregivers were able to report on presence/absence and severity of anxiety symptoms

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean (SD)
Time 1 (n=49)			
Total Items Endorsed	0	25	7.51 (6.86)
5 Item Scale	0	21	8.41 (5.63)
7 Item Scale	0	30	13.47 (8.16)
Time 2 (n=38)			
Total Items Endorsed	0	22	5.08 (5.94)
5 Item Scale	0	22	7.50 (5.50)
7 Item Scale	0	31	12.11 (7.74)

No significant differences in Total Number of Items Endorsed or Severity Indices between age groups ($t \leq 1.936$, $p \geq .097$, all comparisons) or between males and females ($t \leq .746$, $p \geq .467$, all comparisons)

Clinical Validity: PARS-R vs ADAMS (Pearson's correlations)

	Manic/ Hyperactive Behavior r-value	Depressed Mood r-value	Social Avoidance r -value	Generalized Anxiety r -value	Obsessive Compulsive Behavior r -value
5 Item Scale	.44*	.25	.41*	.60***	.56**
7 Item Scale	.38*	.26	.47**	.61***	.54**

**Severity scores on the PARS-R were significantly correlated with the ADAMS for the entire group and all subgroups
(* $p \leq .05$, ** $p \leq .01$, *** $p \leq .001$)**

Clinical Validity: PARS vs CGI (Pearson's correlations)

Group	5 Items Scale r (p-value)	7 Items Scale r (p-value)
Entire Sample (n=43)	.60***	.55***
Young (0-17y)	.97***	.46*
Adult (18+)	.65**	.60**
Male	.96***	.66***
Female	.53*	.47

Severity scores on the PARS-R were significantly correlated with the clinician-rated CGI for anxiety for the entire group and all subgroups (* $p \leq .05$, ** $p \leq .01$, *** $p \leq .001$)

Test-retest Reliability (intraclass correlations)

Group	N	Total Items Endorsed	5 Item Scale	7 Item Scale
All Subjects	38	.90	.86	.86
Young (0-17y)	20	.90	.79	.85
Adult (18+)	18	.90	.90	.90
Male	25	.90	.76	.81
Female	13	.94	.94	.94

The PARS exhibited very good reproducibility (T1:T2) for the entire group and all subgroups ($p \leq .001$, all comparisons)

Inter-rater and Cross-site Reliability

	Scale Analysis 61 Symptoms Checklist Items (κ)	61 Symptoms Checklist (α)	5 Item Severity Index (α)	7 Item Severity Index (α)
Inter-rater Reliability				
JY:NR	.87	.93	.98	.99
Cross-site Reliability				
JY:NRP:DH	-	.96	.97	.97
JY:DH	.90	.95	.96	.93
NRP:DH	.85	.92	.96	.94

Administrations and coding of the PARS-R by trained medical student (JY), doctorate level researcher (NR), and clinician (DH) were reliable and consistent within and across sites.

Conclusions

- ❖ PARS-R is promising as a *feasible* and *reproducible* measure of *clinically-relevant* anxiety in FXS
- ❖ Future work should assess the PARS-R as a useful outcome measure in clinical trials of interventions targeted to the core anxiety phenotype or the underlying disorder in FXS

Thank you

- ❖ Families who participated in this project
- ❖ Research staff who assisted with recruitment, videotaping, and data processing, including: Crystal Hervey, Jason Johnson, Victor Kaytser, Tasleem Chechi and Andrea Schneider
- ❖ Clark McKown, Ph.D. (Rush) for consultation
- ❖ Partial support provided by Rush Research Mentoring Program (NRP), Rush University Medical Center Dean's Summer Fellowship (JY), Grant 3UL1 RR024146-04S4 (DH), and National Fragile X Foundation Summer Student Fellowship (VK)